

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,537	09/15/2005	Eiji Hino	4700.P0317US	1357
	7590 04/03/200	EXAMINER		
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 RAMBLING ROAD			KLEMANSKI, HELENE G	
KALAMAZOO,	, MI 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
2 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/549,537	HINO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helene Klemanski	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the control of the c	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 September 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to lead to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/05) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

 The references cited in the Search Report dated May 17, 2005 have been considered.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is more than one paragraph in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 7, the period after the term "compound" should be replaced with a colon; also in claim 1, the first line after the formula, the left parenthesis should be deleted and lastly in claim 1, the last line, the right parenthesis after the period should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over WO 2004/111287 in view of Kato et al. (US 5,470,381).

Page 3

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

WO 2004/111287 teaches an electroless gold plating solution comprising a water-soluble compound of gold, a hydroxyalkylsulfonic acid reducing agent of the formula

Application/Control Number: 10/549,537

Art Unit: 1755

wherein R represents H, carboxyl, substituted or unsubstituted phenyl, naphthyl, saturated or unsaturated alkyl, acetyl, acetonyl, pyridyl and furyl; X represents H, Na, K and NH₄ and n is an integer of 0-4 and an amine compound. See the abstract and the compounds on pages 5-12. WO 2004/111287 fails to teach the addition of an alkali metal salt or an ammonium salt of sulfurous acid and thiosulfuric acid as a complexing agent for the water-soluble gold compound as claimed by applicants.

Kato et al. teach a similar electroless gold plating solution comprising a sulfite or thiosulfite gold (I) complex as a gold source, an alkali metal or ammonium sulfite and/or thiosulfate as a complexing agent for the gold (i.e. to enhance stability; see col. 3, lines 48-62), ascorbic acid, a pH buffer, a mercapto compound and an alkylamine compound. See the abstract, col. 2, lines 46-61, col. 3, lines 20-62, examples 6-8, 23 and 25 and claims 1-4 and 7.

Therefore, in view of the combined teachings of WO 2004/111287 and Kato et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the electroless gold plating solution of WO 2004/111287 by adding the alkali metal or ammonium sulfite and/or thiosulfate complexing agent of Kato et al. to improve the stability of the electroless gold plating solution.

37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Furthermore, it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re-Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850,205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (citations omitted) 6. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with

Conclusion.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Klemanski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,537

Art Unit: 1755

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Helene Klemanski Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

HK

March 15, 2007